IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE TWENTY FOURTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B.PRAKASH RAO

WRIT PETITION NO : 3911 of 1996

Between:

D.Mythili, D/o. D.Narayana,
Vizianagaram District.

..... PETITIONER

AND

1 Project Director, District Rural Development Agency,
Vizianagaram.
2 The Chairman, District Rural Development Agency-cum-

District Collector, Vizianagaram
3 The Commissioner for Rural Development,
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh Insurance Building,
Tilak Road, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue a writ of mandamus or any other appropriate writ, direction or directions directing the respondents to regularize the service of the petitioner as an Additional Gramasevika in the pay scale of 1745-3420 as may be revised from time to time with all the consequential benefits.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MR.V.SRINIVAS

Counsel for the Respondents: GP FOR PANCHAYAT RAJ & RURAL DEV.

The Court made the following:

O R D E R:

Heard both sides.

The petitioner who has been appointed as an Additional Gramasevika filed this writ petition, *inter alia,* seeking a Mandamus directing the respondents to regularise her services as an Additional Gramasevika in the pay scale 1745-3420, as may be revised from time to time with all consequential benefits.

The only grievance of the petitioner is that though she has been appointed as long back as in 1993 as an Additional Gramasevika in the District Rural Development Agency, Vizianagaram, along with several others, after due selection by way of direct recruitment in the unit, neither her services were regularised nor she was provided with the pay scale as applicable to the said post on par with others. Hence, the writ petition.

No counter-affidavit has been filed on behalf of the respondents.

Admittedly this Court as per interim order dated 28-02-1996 in W.P.M.P.No.4750 of 1996 gave directions to the effect that the salary of the petitioner be paid in the pay scale as specifically pointed out therein. The interim order is still in force.

Having regard to the submissions made and on perusal of the

material on record, especially in view of the fact that the petitioner is in service since 1993 as Additional Gramasevika and she had been paid regular pay scale as sought for, there cannot be any impediment or objection for the respondents in regularising her services.

In the circumstance, the writ petition is allowed. Respondents are directed to consider the case of the petitioner for regularisation and pass appropriate orders within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order. No costs.

_____

(**B. PRAKASH RAO, J**)

Date: 24-11-2004

ghn

The Rule nisi has been made absolute as above.

Witness the Hon'ble Sri Devinder Gupta, the Chief Justice on this Wednesday, the twenty fourth day of November, Two thousand and Four.

To

1 The Project Director, District Rural Development Agency,
Vizianagaram.
2 The Chairman, District Rural Development Agency-cum-

District Collector, Vizianagaram
3 The Commissioner for Rural Development,
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh Insurance Building,
Tilak Road, Hyderabad.

4 Two CCs to Govt. Pleader for Panchayat Raj and Rural

Development, High Court Buildings, Hyderabad. (OUT)

5 Two CD copies